GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2015

H 3

HOUSE BILL 805

Committee Substitute Favorable 8/13/15 Senate Commerce Committee Substitute Adopted 6/24/16

Short Title:	Measurability Assessments.	(Public)
Sponsors:		_
Referred to:		
	April 15, 2015	
	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR MEASURABILITY ASSESSMENTS OF STATE PROGRAMS.		
The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:		
	CTION 1. The North Carolina General Statutes are a	amended by adding a new
Chapter to read		, e
•	" <u>Chapter 143E.</u>	
"The North Carolina Measurability Assessment Act of 2016.		
" <u>§ 143E-1. Ti</u>	<u>tle.</u>	
This Chap	ter shall be known and may be cited as the "Nor	th Carolina Measurability
Assessment Ac	et of 2016."	
	equest for measurability assessment.	
	al Assembly may require a measurability assessment o	
	to determine whether the program is or will be capable	e of reporting performance
and return on in		
	finition of measurability assessment.	
	measurability assessment is an independent evaluation	n conducted on a new or
existing State p		: 4 C 11 :
	neasurability assessment must include or determine all of	
<u>(1)</u>	Whether and to what degree the program is unique	
(2)	negate results of another public or private program of The local, regional, or statewide problems or needs to	<u> -</u>
<u>(2)</u>	to address.	nat the program is intended
<u>(3)</u>	Whether there is a program design portrayed by a log	oic model as defined by the
(3)	Logic Model Development Guide by the W.K. Kell	-
	an evaluation of that logic model.	ogg i ounduron, meruding
<u>(4)</u>	Whether there is evidence that the program produce	s results attributable to the
7.7	program to remedy the problem or need. The inf	
	subdivision shall include the following, as applicable:	
<u>a.</u> For a proposed program, whether the evidence stems from a formative		
	evaluation of proposed activities through a f	-
	reliable instrument or method to measure	
	control group that was not subjected to	the proposed activities to



changes in a randomized group that did receive the proposed activities.

- 1 For an existing program asserting existence of evidence, whether the b. 2 evidence stemmed from a post-program summative evaluation using an 3 experimental or quasi-experimental research design. 4 For both proposed and existing programs, if the evidence had been <u>c.</u> 5 subjected to alternative interpretations and peer review. 6 The capacity of the administering entity to expand the program based upon <u>(5)</u> 7 existing evidence or results. 8 How the program proposes to engage in strategic planning. (6) 9 How the program proposes to measure performance, including measurement of (7) 10 the following: 11 Total costs of program services with costs separately reported for each <u>a.</u> activity associated with each service. 12 13 Outputs or counts of units of services and for individual activities <u>b.</u> 14 associated with each service. Costs per unit of service and for individual activities associated with 15 <u>c.</u> 16 each service. 17 Outcomes or results attributable to each program service, including <u>d.</u> results upon completion of program service; results still evident one, 18 19 two, and three years after completion; ultimate or permanent results; and 20 when and how permanent results will be determined by the program. 21 Customer or client satisfaction with program services. <u>e.</u> 22 Statewide impacts of program outcomes as evidenced by census data or f. 23 other statewide data. 24 Performance compared to standards and what standards the program g. 25 intends to use. 26 How the program will continuously improve quality of program services and (8) 27 consistency with the strategic plan. 28 <u>(9)</u> Whether the administering entity has conducted an assessment to identify 29 financial and legal risks to the entity or the State and has plans for minimizing 30 risk exposure. 31 (10)Whether the program conducts five-year forecasts of annual recurring costs and 32 sources of funding for each year. 33 <u>(11)</u> Whether the program proposes to share costs with primary beneficiaries 34 through a fee-for-service, co-payment, or tuition basis and the extent to which 35 any expected cost-sharing is or will be means-tested and by what method. 36 (12)How program staffing requirements are determined and an evaluation of those 37 requirements. 38 <u>(13)</u> Whether the program has or proposes to have a financial accounting system 39 capable of accounting for all assets, liabilities, receipts, and disbursements. 40 Whether the program is or will be post-audited and if there are any potential <u>(14)</u> impediments to audits or evaluations by the State Auditor, agency internal 41 42 auditors, or the Program Evaluation Division of the General Assembly. 43 The assessor must submit a written report containing the results of the measurability 44 assessment to the Program Evaluation Division at a time and in a format required by the Program
 - **Evaluation Division.**"§ 143E-4. Administration of measurability assessment process.
 - (a) The Program Evaluation Division must use a competitive process to prequalify independent measurability assessors. The assessors will be independent contractors compensated through a uniform fee system established by the Program Evaluation Division, and there will be no guarantee that any prequalified assessor will receive assessment assignments. The Program

45

46

47

48

49

50

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

- Evaluation Division shall not assign an assessor to a measurability assessment if the assessor has been employed by or contracted with the entity within five years preceding the assessment.

 (b) The Program Evaluation Division shall establish standards for assessor qualifications,
 - (b) The Program Evaluation Division shall establish standards for assessor qualifications, independence, and conducting and reporting measurability assessments. Individuals who do not meet the qualifications may not be used to conduct measurability assessments.
 - (c) Whenever a measurability assessment is required, the Program Evaluation Division shall select the assessor and require the agency or institution to reimburse the Program Evaluation Division for the assessor's costs and for a share of the Program Evaluation Division costs for administering the measurability assessment program."

SECTION 2. This act becomes effective October 1, 2016.