# GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

Η

1

23

24

### HOUSE BILL 652

| Short Title: | Property Owners Protection Act.   | (Public) |
|--------------|---|----------|
| Sponsors:    | Representatives Moffitt, Brubaker, Brawley, and McComas (Primary Sponsors). |          |
|              | For a complete list of Sponsors, see Bill Information on the NCGA Web       | o Site.  |
| Referred to: | Commerce and Job Development.   |          |

### April 7, 2011

### A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

## 2 AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE PROPERTY OWNERS PROTECTION ACT.

Whereas, the North Carolina Supreme Court has repeatedly held that land-use regulations are in derogation of the common law, and therefore are to be strictly construed against the government and liberally construed in favor of the free use of land, and further that any ambiguity in the laws, rules, or regulations should be resolved in favor of the property owner; and

8 Whereas, the State of North Carolina and local governments within the State 9 regularly employ attorneys and others who are experts in drafting land-use regulations, and 10 they have adequate resources and time to insure that the land-use regulations are clearly drafted 11 without ambiguity so that ordinary citizens may understand the regulations and their effect on 12 the citizens' property; and

Whereas, sometimes overzealous staff interpret and enforce land-use regulations beyond the scope of the law or ordinance that was actually passed by the State or local government and resolve ambiguities in the laws and ordinances against the property owners; and

Whereas, the burden upon the property owner in challenging an illegal or erroneous
interpretation of a land-use regulation is severe and may involve great cost and delay; Now,
therefore,

20 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

21 **SECTION 1.** The General Statutes are amended by adding a new Chapter to read 22 as follows:

#### "<u>Chapter 47I.</u> "Property Owners Protection Act.

## 25 "§ 47I-1. Free use of land policy.

(a) It is the policy of the State of North Carolina that all statutes, ordinances, rules, and
 regulations that affect the free use of land shall be strictly construed against the government
 and liberally construed in favor of the free use of land. Any ambiguity in a land-use statute,
 ordinance, rule, or regulation shall be resolved in favor of the property owner and the free use
 of land.

(b) In every case in which a property owner successfully challenges the construction,
 interpretation, or enforcement of a statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation that impairs the free
 use of land policy stated in subsection (a) of this section, the court presiding over the action
 shall award to the property owner, as costs, the actual attorneys' fees incurred by the property



1

|    | General Assem     | bly of North Carolina  | Session 2011                     |
|----|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 1  | owner in challe   | nging the illegal or improper construction, interpretation   | n, or enforcement of the         |
| 2  | statute, ordinan  | ce, rule, or regulation.                                     |                                  |
| 3  | <u>(c)</u> If a   | property owner or other person entitled to claim a com       | mon law vested right to          |
| 4  | complete a dev    | elopment project, notwithstanding a subsequent change        | of a statute, ordinance,         |
| 5  | rule, or regulati | on related to the development project, is required to file   | a cause of action in the         |
| 6  | courts of this St | ate to establish the vested right and the court presiding o  | ver the action finds that        |
| 7  | the State or an   | agency of the State, or the county or municipality in        | nvolved failed to fairly         |
| 8  |                   | rovide an inexpensive means to establish the vested right    |                                  |
| 9  |                   | owner, as costs, the actual attorneys' fees incurred by      |                                  |
| 10 | bringing the act  | ion.   |                                  |
| 11 | (d) In an         | ny matter related to a land-use statute, ordinance, rule, or | regulation, the State or         |
| 12 |                   | e State, or the county or municipality involved shall not    | -                                |
| 13 | or forfeiture aga | ainst a property owner for an act not actually committed l   | by the property owner."          |
| 14 | -                 | TION 2. Part 3 of Article 18 of Chapter 153A of              |                                  |
| 15 |                   | ling a new section to read as follows:                       |                                  |
| 16 |                   | Free use of land policy.                                     |                                  |
| 17 |                   | ons of Chapter 47I of the General Statutes shall apply to    | counties."                       |
| 18 |                   | <b>TION 3.</b> G.S. 160A-385 reads as rewritten:             |                                  |
| 19 | "§ 160A-385.      | Changes.   |                                  |
| 20 | (a) Qua           | lified Protests.   |                                  |
| 21 | (1)               | Zoning ordinances may from time to time be an                | nended, supplemented,            |
| 22 |                   | changed, modified or repealed. In case, however,             | of a qualified protest           |
| 23 |                   | against a zoning map or text amendment, that amend           | dment shall not become           |
| 24 |                   | effective except by favorable vote of three-fourths of       |                                  |
| 25 |                   | city council. For the purposes of this subsection, y         |                                  |
| 26 |                   | council and members who are excused from voting              | shall not be considered          |
| 27 |                   | "members of the council" for calculation of the requis       | site supermajority.              |
| 28 | (2)               | To qualify as a protest under this section, the petition     | n must be signed by the          |
| 29 |                   | owners of either (i) twenty percent (20%) or more of         | the area included in the         |
| 30 |                   | proposed change or (ii) five percent (5%) of a               | 100-foot-wide buffer             |
| 31 |                   | extending along the entire boundary of each dis              | crete or separate area           |
| 32 |                   | proposed to be rezoned. A street right-of-way shall          | ll not be considered in          |
| 33 |                   | computing the 100-foot buffer area as long as that sta       | reet right-of-way is 100         |
| 34 |                   | feet wide or less. When less than an entire parcel of        | of land is subject to the        |
| 35 |                   | proposed zoning map amendment, the 100-foot bu               | ffer shall be measured           |
| 36 |                   | from the property line of that parcel. In the absen          | nce of evidence to the           |
| 37 |                   | contrary, the city may rely on the county tax list           | sting to determine the           |
| 38 |                   | "owners" of potentially qualifying areas.                    |                                  |
| 39 | (3)               | The foregoing provisions concerning protests shall n         | not be applicable to any         |
| 40 |                   | amendment which initially zones property added to            | the territorial coverage         |
| 41 |                   | of the ordinance as a result of annexation or otherwi        | se, or to an amendment           |
| 42 |                   | to an adopted (i) special use district, (ii) condition       | nal use district, or (iii)       |
| 43 |                   | conditional district if the amendment does not chang         | ge the types of uses that        |
| 44 |                   | are permitted within the district or increase change t       | he approved density for          |
| 45 |                   | residential development, or increase change the t            | total approved size of           |
| 46 |                   | nonresidential development, or reduce change the             |                                  |
| 47 |                   | screening approved for the special use district, con         | ditional use district, or        |
| 48 |                   | conditional district.  |                                  |
| 49 | (b) Ame           | endments in zoning ordinances shall not be applicable        | or enforceable without           |
| 50 | consent of the    | owner with regard to buildings and uses for which eit        | ther <u>either: (i)</u> building |

50 consent of the owner with regard to buildings and uses for which <u>either either:</u> (i) building 51 permits have been issued pursuant to G.S. 160A-417 prior to the enactment of the ordinance

|    | General Assembly of North CarolinaSession 2011   |
|----|--|
| 1  | making the change or changes so long as the permits remain valid and unexpired pursuant to     |
| 2  | G.S. 160A-418 and unrevoked pursuant to G.S. 160A-422 or (ii)G.S. 160A-422; (ii) a vested      |
| 3  | right has been established pursuant to G.S. 160A-385.1 and such vested right remains valid and |
| 4  | unexpired pursuant to G.S. 160A 385.1.G.S. 160A-385.1; or (iii) common law vested rights are   |
| 5  | applicable."   |
| 6  | SECTION 4. Part 3 of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes is                     |
| 7  | amended by adding a new section to read as follows:  |
| 8  | " <u>§ 160A-394.1. Free use of land policy.</u>  |
| 9  | The provisions of Chapter 47I of the General Statutes shall apply to cities."                  |
| 10 | <b>SECTION 5.</b> This act becomes effective October 1, 2011.                                  |