GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 1993

Η

HOUSE BILL 991

Short Title: Recognition of Foreign Judgments.

(Public)

1

Sponsors: Representative B. Miller.

Referred to: Judiciary III.

April 19, 1993

1		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED		
2	AN ACT TO	PROVIDE FOR THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT IN		
3	NORTH CA	ROLINA OF CERTAIN JUDGMENTS RENDERED BY COURTS IN		
4	FOREIGN C	COUNTRIES.		
5	The General Ass	sembly of North Carolina enacts:		
6	Section 1. Chapter 1C of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new			
7	Article to read:			
8	'' <u>ARTICLE 18.</u>			
9	<u>"NORTH CAROLINA FOREIGN MONEY JUDGMENTS RECOGNITION</u>			
10		<u>ACT.</u>		
11	" <u>§ 1C-1800. Short title.</u>			
12	This Article	may be cited as the North Carolina Foreign Money Judgments		
13	Recognition Act	—		
14	" <u>§ 1C-1801. Definitions.</u>			
15	As used in the			
16	<u>(1)</u>	'Foreign judgment' means any judgment of a foreign state granting or		
17		denying recovery of a sum of money, other than a judgment for taxes,		
18		a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in matrimonial or		
19		family matters.		
20	<u>(2)</u>	'Foreign state' means any governmental unit other than the United		
21		States, any state, district, commonwealth, territory, insular possession		
22		thereof, the Panama Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific		
23		Islands, or the Ryukyu Islands.		
24	" <u>§ 1C-1802. A</u> r	oplicability of Article.		

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

1	This Article applies to any foreign judgment that is final and conclusive and					
2	enforceable where rendered even though an appeal of the judgment is pending or the					
3	judgment is subject to appeal.					
4		" <u>§ 1C-1803. Recognition and enforcement.</u>				
5	-	· -	rovided in G.S. 1C-1804, a foreign judgment meeting the requirements			
6	of G.S. 1C-1802 is conclusive between the parties to the extent that it grants or denies					
7			um of money. The foreign judgment is enforceable in the same manner			
8	as the judgment of a sister state that is entitled to full faith and credit.					
9			rounds for nonrecognition.			
10	<u>(a)</u>		eign judgment is not conclusive if:			
11		<u>(1)</u>	The judgment was rendered under a system that does not provide			
12			impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the requirements of			
13			due process of law;			
14		<u>(2)</u>	The foreign court did not have personal jurisdiction over the			
15			defendant; or			
16	(1)	(3)	The foreign judgment did not have jurisdiction over the subject matter.			
17	<u>(b)</u>		eign judgment need not be recognized if:			
18		<u>(1)</u>	The defendant in the proceedings in the foreign court did not receive			
19			notice of the proceedings in sufficient time to enable the presentation			
20		(\mathbf{a})	<u>of a defense;</u>			
21		$\frac{(2)}{(3)}$	The judgment was obtained by fraud;			
22		<u>(3)</u>	The cause of action on which the judgment is based is repugnant to the			
23		(\mathbf{A})	public policy of this State;			
24		$\frac{(4)}{(5)}$	The judgment conflicts with another final and conclusive judgment;			
25		<u>(5)</u>	The proceedings in the foreign court were contrary to an agreement			
26			between the parties under which the dispute in question was to be			
27		(\mathbf{f})	settled out of court; or			
28		<u>(6)</u>	In the case of jurisdiction based on personal service, the foreign court			
29 20	" <u>\$ 10 19</u>	05 D	was a seriously inconvenient forum for the trial of the action. asis for personal jurisdiction.			
30 31	<u> </u>		foreign judgment shall not be refused recognition for lack of personal			
31	<u>(a)</u> jurisdicti		oreign judgment shan not be refused recognition for lack of personal			
33	Jurisaicu		The defendant was served personally in the foreign state;			
33 34		$\frac{(1)}{(2)}$	The defendant voluntarily appeared in the proceedings, other than for			
35		<u>(2)</u>	the purpose of protecting property seized or threatened with seizure in			
36			the proceeding or of contesting the jurisdiction of the court over the			
37			defendant;			
38		<u>(3)</u>	The defendant, prior to the commencement of the proceedings, had			
39		<u>(J)</u>	agreed to submit to the jurisdiction of the foreign court with respect to			
40			the subject matter involved;			
40 41		<u>(4)</u>	<u>The defendant was domiciled in the foreign state when the proceedings</u>			
42		<u>(</u> -)	were instituted, or, being a body corporate had its principal place of			
43			business, was incorporated, or had otherwise acquired corporate status,			
44			in the foreign state;			

	1993	GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA	
	(5)	The defendant had a business office in the foreign state, and the	
	<u>(5)</u>	proceedings in the foreign court involved a cause of action arising out	
		of business done by the defendant through that office in the foreign	
		state; or	
	<u>(6)</u>	The defendant operated a motor vehicle or airplane in the foreign state	
	<u> </u>	and the proceedings involved a cause of action arising out of such	
		operation.	
	<u>(b)</u> <u>The</u>	courts of this State may recognize other bases of jurisdiction.	
	" <u>§ 1C-1806. Stay pending an appeal.</u>		
	If the defendant satisfies the court either that an appeal is pending or that the		
defendant is entitled and intends to appeal from the foreign judgment, the court may			
stay the proceedings until the appeal has been determined or until the expiration of a			
period of time sufficient to enable the defendant to prosecute the appeal.			
" <u>§ 1C-1807. Situations not covered by Article.</u>			
This Article does not prevent the recognition of foreign judgment in situations not			
	covered by this Article.		
	" <u>§ 1C-1808. Uniformity of interpretation.</u>		
This Article shall be construed to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the			
	law of those states that enact it."		
		2. This act becomes effective October 1, 1993, and applies to any	
		ents, as defined in G.S. 1C-1801 as enacted in Section 1 of this act,	
	entered on or a	fter that date.	

22 entered on or after that date.