## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2021

H HOUSE BILL 723

Short Title:	Reenact & Revise Racial Justice Act. (Public)
Sponsors:	Representatives Alston, Morey, Harrison, and Autry (Primary Sponsors).  For a complete list of sponsors, refer to the North Carolina General Assembly web site.
Referred to:	Rules, Calendar, and Operations of the House
	April 29, 2021
A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT TO REENACT THE RACIAL JUSTICE ACT AND TO PROHIBIT THE STATE FROM SEEKING A CRIMINAL CONVICTION OR SENTENCE ON THE BASIS OF RACE, ETHNICITY, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:	
	ECTION 1. Article 101 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is reenacted as it
$\mathbf{S}$	y enacted under S.L. 2009-464. <b>ECTION 2.</b> Article 101 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes, as reenacted by this act, is amended by adding a new section to read:
"§ 15A-2013. Prohibition on prosecution based on race, ethnicity, or national origin.	
	efinitions. – The following definitions apply in this section:
<u>(1</u>	evidence or aggregate data demonstrate a significant difference in seeking or obtaining convictions or in imposing sentences comparing individuals who have committed similar offenses and are similarly situated, and the prosecution cannot establish race-neutral reasons for the disparity.
	that there is a substantial likelihood that a violation of subsection (b) of this section occurred. For purposes of this subdivision, a "substantial likelihood" requires more than a mere possibility, but less than a standard of more likely than not.
<u>(3</u>	
<u>(b)</u> Pr	rohibition The State shall not seek or obtain a criminal conviction, or seek, obtain,
-	sentence, on the basis of race, ethnicity, or national origin. A violation of this
subsection is	established if the defendant proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, any of the

following:



1 The judge, an attorney in the case, a law enforcement officer involved in the (1) 2 case, an expert witness, or juror exhibited bias or animus towards the 3 defendant because of the defendant's race, ethnicity, or national origin. 4 During the defendant's trial, in court and during the proceedings, the judge, an <u>(2)</u> 5 attorney in the case, a law enforcement officer involved in the case, an expert 6 witness, or juror used racially discriminatory language about the defendant's 7 race, ethnicity, or national origin, or otherwise exhibited bias or animus 8 towards the defendant because of the defendant's race, ethnicity, or national 9 origin, whether or not purposeful. This subdivision does not apply if the 10 person speaking is describing language used by another that is relevant to the 11 case or if the person speaking is giving a racially neutral and unbiased physical 12 description of the suspect. 13 Race, ethnicity, or national origin was a factor in the exercise of peremptory (3) 14 challenges. The defendant need not show that purposeful discrimination 15 occurred in the exercise of peremptory challenges to demonstrate a violation 16 of this subsection. 17 The defendant was charged or convicted of a more serious offense than (4) 18 defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins who commit similar 19 offenses and are similarly situated, and the evidence establishes that the 20 prosecution more frequently sought or obtained convictions for more serious 21 offenses against people who share the defendant's race, ethnicity, or national 22 origin in the county where the convictions were sought or obtained. 23 A longer or more severe sentence was imposed on the defendant than was (5) 24 imposed on other similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense, 25 and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for that 26 offense on people that share the defendant's race, ethnicity, or national origin than on defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in the county 27 28 where the sentence was imposed. 29 (6) A longer or more severe sentence was imposed on the defendant than was 30 imposed on other similarly situated individuals convicted of the same offense, 31 and longer or more severe sentences were more frequently imposed for the 32 same offense on defendants in cases with victims of one race, ethnicity, or 33 national origin than in cases with victims of other races, ethnicities, or national 34 origins in the county where the sentence was imposed. 35 Motion. – A defendant may file a motion in the trial court or, if judgment has been 36 imposed, may file a petition for writ of habeas corpus or a motion under Article 89 of this Chapter 37 in a court of competent jurisdiction, alleging a violation of subsection (b) of this section. 38 Hearing. – If a motion is filed in the trial court and the defendant makes a prima facie 39 showing of a violation of subsection (b) of this section, the trial court shall hold a hearing. 40 Hearing Requirements. – All of the following requirements apply to a hearing held 41 under subsection (d) of this section: 42 Evidence may be presented by either party, including, but not limited to, (1) 43 statistical evidence, aggregate data, expert testimony, and the sworn testimony 44 of witnesses. The court may also appoint an independent expert. 45 The defendant shall have the burden of proving a violation of subsection (b) <u>(2)</u> 46 of this section by a preponderance of the evidence. 47 At the conclusion of the hearing, the court shall make findings on the record. (3) 48 Remedies. – If the court finds, by a preponderance of evidence, a violation of 49 subsection (b) of this section, the court shall impose any of the following remedies: 50 Before a judgment has been entered, the court may impose any of the (1)

51

following remedies:

- **General Assembly Of North Carolina** Session 2021 1 Reseat a juror removed by use of a peremptory challenge. 2 Declare a mistrial, if requested by the defendant. b. 3 Discharge the jury panel and empanel a new jury. <u>c.</u> 4 If the court determines that it would be in the interest of justice, d. 5 dismiss enhancements, special circumstances, or reduce one or more 6 charges. 7 (2) When a judgment has been entered, if the court finds that a conviction was 8 sought or obtained in violation of subsection (b) of this section, the court shall 9 vacate the conviction and sentence, find that it is legally invalid, and order 10 new proceedings consistent with subsection (b) of this section. If the court 11 finds that the only violation of subsection (b) of this section that occurred is 12 based on subdivision (4) of subsection (b) of this section and the court has the 13 ability to rectify the violation by modifying the judgment, the court shall 14 vacate the conviction and sentence, find that the conviction is legally invalid, 15 and modify the judgment to impose an appropriate remedy for the violation 16 that occurred. On resentencing, the court shall not impose a new sentence 17 greater than that previously imposed. When a judgment has been entered, if the court finds that only the sentence 18 <u>(3)</u> 19 was sought, obtained, or imposed in violation of subsection (b) of this section, 20 the court shall vacate the sentence, find that it is legally invalid, and impose a 21 new sentence. On resentencing, the court shall not impose a new sentence 22 greater than that previously imposed. 23 Any other remedies available under the United States Constitution, the North <u>(4)</u> 24 Carolina Constitution, or any other law. 25 Capital Punishment. – When the court finds there has been a violation of subsection 26 (b) of this section, the defendant shall not be eligible for the death penalty. Juveniles. – This section also applies to adjudications and dispositions under Chapter 27

  - 7B of the General Statutes.
  - Aggregation of Data. A defendant may share a race, ethnicity, or national origin with more than one group. A defendant may aggregate data among groups to demonstrate a violation of subsection (b) of this section.
  - Controlling Authority. To the extent this section conflicts with any other section of the General Statutes, this section controls."
  - **SECTION 3.** This act is effective when it becomes law and applies retroactively. For persons under a death sentence imposed before the effective date of this act, motions under this act shall be filed within one year of the effective date of this act; for persons whose death sentence is imposed on or after the effective date of this act, motions shall be filed as provided in Article 101 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes, as reenacted and amended by this act.

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38