STATE O'NO TO THE STATE O'NO T

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Session 2019

Legislative Actuarial Note

Health Benefits

Short Title: Realistic Evaluation of Actuarial Liabilities.

Bill Number: House Bill 626 (First Edition) **Sponsor(s):** Representatives McNeill and Ross

SUMMARY TABLE

ACTUARIAL IMPACT OF H.B. 626, V.1 (\$ in thousands)

FY 2019-20 FY 2020-21 FY 2021-22 FY 2022-23 FY 2023-24

State Impact

State Health Plan Net Loss

NET STATE IMPACT - - - -

The impacts of sections 3 and 5 are indeterminable. See below for further detail.

ACTUARIAL IMPACT SUMMARY

Sections 2, 3, 4, and 5 have potential actuarial impacts on the State Health Plan (Plan).

<u>Section 2</u>: Directs certain rebates received by the Department of State Treasurer on supplemental voluntary insurance benefits to the Unfunded Liability Solvency Reserve, which is used to provide additional contributions to the Retiree Health Benefit Fund, the State's Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) trust. The Segal Company, the consulting actuary for the Plan, and Hartman & Associates, the consulting actuary for the General Assembly, both estimate that this section will not have a material impact on the Plan or the Net OPEB Liability.

<u>Section 3</u>: Allows the State Treasurer to transfer to the Retiree Health Benefit Fund up to 50% of the unencumbered balance remaining in the Plan's cash reserves after providing for incurred but unpresented claims. The amount to be transferred could exceed \$100 million, but will depend on decisions by the State Treasurer and Board of Trustees. Both actuaries state that the impact of this section cannot be determined, but the section could impact the Net OPEB Liability and future contribution requirements for the Plan.

<u>Section 4</u>: Specifies that funds in the Unfunded Liability Solvency Reserve do not revert to the General Fund. Both actuaries estimate that this section will not have a material impact on the Plan or the Net OPEB Liability.

<u>Section 5</u>: Clarifies and strengthens the subrogation provisions in Plan statutes. The Segal Company states that the impact of this section is indeterminable. Hartman & Associates estimates that this section will have negligible financial impact on the Plan.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

The actuarial analyses used by each respective consulting actuary are on file with the Fiscal Research Division. Copies of each respective consulting actuary's analysis, including assumptions, are also attached to the original copy of this Legislative Actuarial note.

Summary Information and Data about the State Health Plan (Plan)

The Plan administers health benefit coverage for active employees from employing units of State agencies and departments, universities, local public schools, and local community colleges. Eligible retired employees of authorized employing units may also access health benefit coverage under the Plan. Eligible dependents of active and retired employees are authorized to participate in the Plan provided they meet certain requirements. Employees and retired employees of selected local governments and charter schools may also participate in the Plan under certain conditions.

The State finances the Plan on a self-funded basis and administers benefit coverage under a Preferred Provider Option (PPO) arrangement, with the exception of many Medicare-eligible retirees who are in fully-insured Medicare Advantage plans. The Plan's receipts are derived through premium contributions, investment earnings and other receipts. Premiums for health benefit coverage are paid by (1) employing agencies for active employees, (2) the Retiree Health Benefit Fund for retired employees, and (3) employees and retirees who participate in a plan with a non-zero premium or who elect dependent coverage. Benefit and premium changes are typically effective at January 1. The Plan's PPO benefit design includes two alternative benefit levels listed below:

- 1) The 70/30 Plan that offers higher out-of-pocket requirements in return for lower employee and retiree premiums, and
- 2) The 80/20 Plan that offers lower out-of-pocket requirements with higher employee and retiree premiums.

Medicare-eligible retirees are offered three alternative plans:

- 1) The 70/30 Plan as coverage secondary to Medicare for medical services plus a pharmacy benefit plan,
- 2) "Base" Medicare Advantage Prescription Drug Plan (MA-PDP) from United Healthcare, that is actuarially equivalent to the 80/20 Plan and applies in-network out-of-pocket requirements at out-of-network providers
- 3) "Enhanced" MA-PDP, identical to the "Base" MA-PDP, except with lower co-pays and higher retiree premiums

The following tables provide a summary of the most common monthly premium rates for the Plan in 2019:

Active Employees and Non-Medicare Retirees (if Fully Subsidized)

		Employee/R	letiree Share
	Employer	Complete	Do Not
	Share	Tobacco	Complete
		Attestation	Attestation
70/30 Plan	\$519	\$25 *	\$85 *
80/20 Plan	\$159	\$50	\$110

^{* \$0} for Non-Medicare Retirees

Medicare Retirees (if Fully Subsidized)

Medicare	Advantage	Dlane
Medicare	Auvantage	Pialis

hare
hare

Dependents (paid by employee/retiree in addition to premiums above)

		All Dependents are Non- Medicare		One or More Medicare Dependents		
	70/30 Plan	80/20 Plan	MA-PDP Base	MA-PDP Enhanced	70/30 Plan	
Employee/Retiree + Children	\$193	\$255	\$89	\$152	\$155	
Employee/Retiree + Spouse	\$565	\$650	\$89	\$152	\$425	
Employee/Retiree + Family	\$573	\$670	\$178	\$304	\$444	

The employer share of premiums for retirees is paid from the Retiree Health Benefit Fund. During FY 2018-19, employers contribute 6.27% of active employee payroll into the Fund. Total contributions for the year are projected to be approximately \$1,096 million.

Financial Condition

Projected Results for CY 2019 and CY 2020 – The following summarizes projected financial results for 2019 and 2020, based on financial experience through September 2018. The projection assumes a 7.0% annual claims growth trend for medical claims, a 9.5% trend for pharmacy claims, benefit provisions and member-paid premiums as adopted by the Board for 2019, Medicare-based provider pricing beginning in 2020, and 4% employer premium increases in 2020.

	(\$ m	illions)
	Projected	Projected
	CY 2019	CY 2020
Paginning Cash Palance	\$1,056.7	\$1,079.0
Beginning Cash Balance	\$1,050.7	\$1,079.0
Receipts:		
Net Premium Collections	\$3,690.6	\$3,841.9
Medicare Subsidies	\$10.3	\$10.4
Investment Earnings	\$9.3	\$9.4
Total	\$3,710.1	\$3,861.7
Disbursements:		
Net Medical Claim Payment Expenses	\$2,533.7	\$2,530.9
Net Pharmacy Claim Payment Expenses	\$767.7	\$842.9
Medicare Advantage Premiums	\$171.4	\$239.2
Administration and Claims-Processing Expenses	\$215.0	\$207.1
Total	\$3,687.8	\$3,820.1
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$22.3	\$41.6

Of the premiums paid in CY 2019, an estimated \$2.4 billion is derived from General Fund sources and an estimated \$0.1 billion is derived from Highway Fund sources.

Other Information

Additional assumptions include Medicare benefit "carve-outs," cost containment strategies including prior approval for certain medical services, utilization of the "Blue Options" provider network in 2019 and Medicare-based pricing in 2020 and beyond, case and disease management for selected medical conditions, mental health case management, coordination of benefits with other payers, a prescription drug benefit manager with manufacturer rebates from formularies, fraud detection, and other authorized actions by the State Treasurer, Executive Administrator, and Board of Trustees to manage the Plan to maintain and improve the Plan's operation and financial condition where possible. Medical claim costs are expected to increase at a rate of 7.0% annually and pharmacy claim costs are expected to increase at a rate of 9.5% annually according to assumptions adopted by the Board of Trustees. The active population is projected to remain unchanged, the pre-Medicare retiree population is projected to decrease by 1% per year and the Medicare-eligible retiree population is projected to increase by 3% per year.

Enrollment as of January 1, 2019

]	No. of Participants	Traditional 70/30	Enhanced 80/20	Medicare Advantage	Total	Percent of Total
	Actives	,	, ,			
4	Employees	112,490	192,987		305,477	41.6%
	Dependents	77,656	99,369	-	177,025	24.1%
	_		_			
	Sub-total	190,146	292,356	-	482,502	65.8%
]	<u>Retired</u>					
	Employees	44,013	23,411	140,834	208,258	28.4%
	Dependents	7,674	5,346	11,855	24,875	3.4%
	Sub-total	51,687	28,757	152,689	233,133	31.8%
(<u>Other</u>					
	Employees	3,670	8,195	=	11,865	1.6%
	Dependents	2,327	3,704	-	6,031	0.8%
	Sub-total	5,997	11,899	-	17,896	2.4%
,	Total					
	Employees	160,173	224,593	140,834	525,600	71.7%
	Dependents	87,657	108,419	11,855	207,931	28.3%
(Grand Total	247,830	333,012	152,689	733,531	100%
	D . (m . 1	33.8%	45.4%	20.8%	100.0%	
	Enrollment by Contract	Traditional	Enhanced	MA 128 979	Total 417 538	
]	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366	MA 128,979 215 11,640	417,538 61,086 22,919	
]	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren)	Traditional 118,022 25,632	Enhanced 170,537 35,239	128,979 215	417,538 61,086	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593	128,979 215 11,640 140,834	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7%	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9%	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6%	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4%	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren)	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0%	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7%	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2%	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6%	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1%	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8%	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3%	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4%	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren)	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0%	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7%	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2%	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6%	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2%	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5%	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0%	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6%	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0%	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0%	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0%	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex Female	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional 141,753	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0% Enhanced 212,564	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0% MA 101,689	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0% Total 456,006	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Family Total Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex Female Male	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional 141,753 106,077	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0% Enhanced 212,564 120,448	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0% MA 101,689 51,000	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0% Total 456,006 277,525	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex Female	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional 141,753	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0% Enhanced 212,564	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0% MA 101,689	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0% Total 456,006	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Family Total Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex Female Male	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional 141,753 106,077	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0% Enhanced 212,564 120,448	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0% MA 101,689 51,000	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0% Total 456,006 277,525	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex Female Male Total	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional 141,753 106,077 247,830	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0% Enhanced 212,564 120,448 333,012	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0% MA 101,689 51,000 152,689	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0% Total 456,006 277,525 733,531	
	Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Percent Enrollment by Contract Employee Only Employee Child(ren) Employee Spouse Employee Family Total Enrollment by Sex Female Male Total Percent Enrollment by Sex	Traditional 118,022 25,632 4,913 11,606 160,173 Traditional 73.7% 16.0% 3.1% 7.2% 100.0% Traditional 141,753 106,077 247,830 Traditional	Enhanced 170,537 35,239 6,366 12,451 224,593 Enhanced 75.9% 15.7% 2.8% 5.5% 100.0% Enhanced 212,564 120,448 333,012 Enhanced	128,979 215 11,640 140,834 MA 91.6% 0.2% 8.3% 0.0% 100.0% MA 101,689 51,000 152,689 MA	417,538 61,086 22,919 24,057 525,600 Total 79.4% 11.6% 4.4% 4.6% 100.0% Total 456,006 277,525 733,531 Total	

Enrol	lment by Age	Traditional	Enhanced	MA	Total
25 & U	Inder	74,779	94,476	15	169,270
26 to	45	64,448	92,523	294	157,265
46 to .	55	42,687	68,051	1,182	111,920
56 to	65	44,009	71,768	13,155	128,932
66 & 0	Over	21,907	6,194	138,043	166,144
Total		247,830	333,012	152,689	733,531
D	The second secon	man distance	Pulsar d	24.4	m - 4 - 1
	nt Enrollment by Age	Traditional	Enhanced	MA	Total
25 & U		30.2%	28.4%	0.0%	23.1%
26 to		26.0%	27.8%	0.2%	21.4%
46 to		17.2%	20.4%	0.8%	15.3%
56 to		17.8%	21.6%	8.6%	17.6%
66 & 0)ver	8.8%	1.9%	90.4%	22.6%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Retire	ee Enrollment by Category		Employee	Dependents	Total
	Medicare Eligible		45,030	12,353	57,383
Medic	are Eligible in Traditional 70/30		22,394	667	23,061
Medic	are Eligible in Base MA Plan		121,521	9,094	130,615
	are Eligible in Enhanced MA Plan		19,313	2,761	22,074
Total			208,258	24,875	233,133
Perce	nt Enrollment by Category (Retiree)		Employee	Dependents	Total
	Medicare Eligible		21.6%	49.7%	24.6%
	are Eligible in Traditional 70/30		10.8%	2.7%	9.9%
	are Eligible in Base MA Plan		58.4%	36.6%	56.0%
	are Eligible in Enhanced MA Plan		9.3%	11.1%	9.5%
Total			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
-					
	lment By Major Employer Groups		Employees	Dependents	Total
State	Agencies		65,979	32,602	98,581
	ystem		54,857	36,678	91,535
	Public Schools		164,529	95,091	259,620
	er Schools (94 entities)		4,875	3,356	8,231
Local Other	Community Colleges		15,237	9,298	24,535
	al Goverments (129 entities)		11,040	5,380	16,420
COE	,		825	651	1,476
Retire	ment System		208,258	24,875	233,133
Total			525,600	207,931	733,531
_					
	nt Enrollment by Major Employer Gro	oups	Employees	Dependents	Total
	Agencies		12.6%	15.7%	13.4%
	ystem		10.4%	17.6%	12.5%
	Public Schools		31.3%	45.7%	35.4%
	er Schools		0.9%	1.6%	1.1%
Local Other	Community Colleges		2.9%	4.5%	3.3%
	al Goverments		2.1%	2.6%	2.2%
COE			0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
	ment System		39.6%	12.0%	31.8%
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total					

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

N/A.

DATA SOURCES

The Segal Company; baseline financial projections updated through Q3 CY2018; dated January 9, 2019. Filename "CY18 Q3 - Baseline - Final v2.pdf"

-Actuarial Note, Hartman & Associates, "House Bill 626: An Act to Require Stress Testing for TSERS, to Make Amendments Relating to the Pension Solvency Fund, to Make Amendments Related to Subrogation Under the State Health Plan, and to Clarify the Lien Priority of TSERS as It Pertains to Charter School Payment Plans", April 11, 2019, original of which is on file in the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division.

-Actuarial Note, The Segal Company, House Bill 626, "Realistic Evaluation of Actuarial Liabilities", April 15, 2019, original of which is on file with the State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees and the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division.

LEGISLATIVE ACTUARIAL NOTE - PURPOSE AND LIMITATIONS

This document is an official actuarial analysis prepared pursuant to Chapter 120 of the General Statutes and rules adopted by the Senate and House of Representatives. The estimates in this analysis are based on the data, assumptions, and methodology described above. This document only addresses sections of the bill that have projected direct actuarial impacts on State employee health benefit programs and does not address sections that have no projected actuarial impacts.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Questions on this analysis should be directed to the Fiscal Research Division at (919) 733-4910.

ESTIMATE PREPARED BY

David Vanderweide

ESTIMATE APPROVED BY

Mark Trogdon, Director of Fiscal Research Fiscal Research Division April 15, 2019



Signed copy located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices