GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Session 2015

Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

BILL NUMBER: House Bill 554 (First Edition)

SHORT TITLE: Protect Public from Dangerous Wild Animals.

SPONSOR(S): Representatives McGrady, Hurley, Hardister, and Saine

		FISCAL 1 (\$ in mil	_		
	□ Yes	□ No	▼ No Estimat		
[FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20
State Impact					
General Fund Revenues:					
General Fund Expenditures					
State Positions:					
NET STATE IMPACT	Likely budg	et cost. See Assum	ptions & Methodolo	gy section for additi	onal details.
PRINCIPAL DEPAR' Administrative Office of EFFECTIVE DATE: TECHNICAL CONSI	E the Courts; Indigent December 1, 2015	` '		Safety	

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY:

The proposed bill may have a fiscal impact to address new chargeable offenses being enforced, adjudicated, and having penalties applied to those convicted of the new offenses. However, given that there is no historical data on these new offenses or similar offenses to use as a proxy for predicting the total number of new offenses, the Fiscal Research Division cannot reasonably estimate the total additional costs that may be incurred. The following costs may be incurred:

- Administrative Office of the Courts: \$\$88 to \$365 per disposition
- Indigent Defense Services: \$181 to \$315 per disposition
- Department of Public Safety (DPS) Prison Section: \$0 to \$2,106 per active sentence
- DPS Community Corrections: \$1,175 to \$3,002 per conviction

Please see the Assumptions and Methodology section for additional information.

BILL SUMMARY:

The bill creates three criminal penalties for violation of new Article 7, Dangerous Wild Animals, of Chapter 19A of the General Statutes. The penalties are:

- Class 2 misdemeanor for violation of the article
- Class A1 misdemeanor for any dangerous wild animal owner or custodian whose animal was loose or caused property damage
- Class I felony if the loose animal seriously injured someone

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:

General

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares prison population projections for each bill containing a criminal penalty. The Commission assumes for such bills that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime. Therefore, the Fiscal Research Division does not assume deterrent effects for any criminal penalty bill.

S.L. 2011-192 (H.B. 642), the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA), made changes to North Carolina's court system, corrections system (both to prisons and probation), and to post-release supervision. All F-I felons are now subject to nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). B1-E felony PRS has been increased from nine months to twelve months. Due to the lack of historical data about JRA implementation, it is not possible to estimate the number of prison beds that may be needed as a result of revocations.

JRA also created the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) for housing misdemeanants with sentences between 90 and 180 days in county jails (misdemeanants with shorter sentences were already the responsibility of the counties). County participation in the program is voluntary. The SMCP pays participating counties for misdemeanants' housing, transportation, and medical costs. In 2014, the program was expanded to include all misdemeanants with sentences longer than 90 days. The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission does not track county jail capacity, so it is not possible to estimate the impact of new or increased misdemeanor penalties on county jails.

Since the bill creates three new offenses, the Sentencing Commission does not have any historical data from which to estimate the impact of this bill on the prison population. It is not known how many offenders might be convicted and sentenced under the new statute.

Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for most criminal penalty bills. For such bills, fiscal impact is typically based on the assumption that court time will increase due to anticipated increases in trials and corresponding increases in workload for judges, clerks, and prosecutors. This increased court time is also expected to result in greater expenditures for jury fees and indigent defense.

The bill creates three new criminal penalties. Because these are new offenses, AOC does not have historical data upon which to estimate the number of charges that might occur. AOC provides estimates of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class. The table below shows the average cost to the court for each offense level.

AOC Cost by Offense Level				
Offense Level	Cost			
Class 2 misdemeanor	\$88			
Class A1 misdemeanor	\$286			
Class I felony	\$365			

The Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS) has provided Fiscal Research with the frequency and cost of indigent defense services for each level of crime, including the cost differentials for district and superior court with and without a trial and the percentage of cases handled in each category. Fiscal Research used this data to calculate a weighted average of IDS costs. The table below shows the weighted average cost of each offense level per case for a private appointed counsel (PAC) attorney. This estimate assumes the appointment of a PAC attorney. In districts that have Public Defender offices, cases may be handled by those offices. In those instances, this cost may not be incurred.

IDS Cost by Offense Level						
Percent Using						
Offense Level	IDS	Cost				
Class 2 misdemeanor	30%	\$181				
Class A1 misdemeanor	52%	\$204				
Class I felony	68%	\$315				

Department of Public Safety - Prison Section

This bill creates two new misdemeanor offenses. The North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission expects no impact on the prison population because all misdemeanor offenders who receive active sentences will serve them in the local jail. Therefore, the Department of Public Safety does not anticipate an impact on prison custody projections from those new penalties.

The chart below depicts the projected inmate population relative to available prison bed capacity system-wide. Capacity projections assume operation at Expanded Operating Capacity, and represent the total number of beds in operation, or authorized for construction or operation as of December 2014.

Based on the most recent population projections and estimated bed capacity, there are surplus prison beds available for the five-year fiscal note horizon and beyond. Therefore, no additional beds will be required unless the projected number of additional inmates resulting from a bill (row four) exceeds the projected number of beds under the inmate population (row three).

The bill also creates a new Class I felony. Since this is a new offense, the Sentencing Commission does not have any historical data from which to estimate the impact of this bill on the prison population. It is not known how many beds may be required as a result of this bill.

House Bill 554 (First Edition)

¹ Expanded Operating Capacity (EOC) is: 1) the number of single cells housing one inmate, 2) the number of single cells housing two inmates, and 3) the number of beds in dormitories, allowing between 35 (130% of Standard Operating Capacity) and 50 (SOC) square feet per inmate.

Population Projections and Bed Capacity Five Year Impact								
	June 30 2016	June 30 2017	June 30 2018	June 30 2019	June 30 2020			
1. Inmates ²	37,360	37,522	37,348	37,462	37,610			
2. Prison Beds (Expanded Capacity)	38,749	38,749	38,749	38,749	38,749			
3. Beds Over/(Under) Inmate Population	1,389	1,227	1,401	1,287	1,139			
4. Additional Inmates Due to this Bill ³	No estimate available							
5. Additional Beds Required								

In addition to the capital costs that may be associated with additional bed needs, there are also per diem costs for housing inmates. The cost to add one additional inmate to the prison system is \$11.53 per day, or \$351 per month, which includes the cost of food, clothing, and health care. In FY 2013-14, 16% of Class I felony offenders received active sentences averaging six months. For every one Class I felony offender receiving an active sentence, the cost to the prison section will be \$2,106 (\$351 monthly cost times six months).

Department of Public Safety – Community Correction Section

All active sentences for felony offenses now result in a minimum of twelve months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B1-E level offenses and a minimum of nine months of PRS for F-I level offenses. Additionally, for felony offense classes E through I offenders may be given non-active (intermediate or community) sentences exclusively, or in conjunction with imprisonment (split-sentence). Sanctions include electronic house arrest, community service, substance abuse treatment, participation in educational or vocational skills development, payment of court costs, fines, and restitution, and short-term jail sentences not exceeding six days per month.

JRA essentially eliminated the distinction between "community" and "intermediate" supervision. Under structured sentencing, the two types of supervision were each defined by a set of specific sanctions. Under JRA, both community and intermediate probation may now include electronic monitoring, short-term periods of confinement, substance abuse assessment, monitoring, and treatment, participation in educational programs or vocational skills development. Whether a probationer is subject to more stringent conditions is determined by the results of a risk-needs assessment administered by the Department of Public Safety.

All types of post-release supervision are supervised by the Community Corrections Section (CCS); CCS also oversees community service. Supervision by a probation officer costs \$130.50 per offender, per month; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation, or who are only ordered to pay fines, fees, or restitution. Total costs are based on average supervision length and the percentage of offenders (per offense class) sentenced to active sentences requiring post-release supervision and supervised probations.

In FY 2013-14, 33% of Class 2 misdemeanor offenders received active sentences; 67% received probation. Active misdemeanor sentences of less than 180 days are served in local jails and do not require any post-

² The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares inmate population projections annually. These projections are derived from: historical information on incarceration and release rates under Structured Sentencing; crime rate forecasts by a technical advisory group; probation and offender revocation rates; and the decline (parole and max-outs) of the stock prison population sentenced under prior sentencing acts. Projections were updated in February 2015.

³ Criminal penalty bills effective December 1, 2015 should not affect prison population and bed needs until FY 2016-17 due to the lag time between offense charge and sentencing - six months on average. No delayed effect is presumed for the Court System.

release supervision. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 13 months. The cost of 13 months of supervision is \$1,697 per offender (\$130.50 per month times 13 months).⁴

In FY 2013-14, 35% of Class A1 misdemeanor offenders received active sentences; 65% received probation. Active misdemeanor sentences of less than 180 days are served in local jails and do not require any post-release supervision. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 17 months. The cost of 17 months of supervision is \$2,219 per offender (\$130.50 per month times 17 months).

In FY 2013-14, 16% of Class I felony offenders received active sentences; 84% received probation. All active sentences result in nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 23 months. Therefore, at a minimum, one felony conviction resulting from this bill will require at least nine months of supervision. The cost of nine months of supervision is \$1,175 per offender (\$130.50 per month times nine months). For every offender sentenced to probation, the average cost would be \$3,002 (\$130.50 per month times 23 months).

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Public Safety; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None

FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: Kristine Leggett

APPROVED BY:

Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division

DATE: April 29, 2015

Official Fiscal Research Division Publication

Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices

⁴ Due to the effective date of December 1, 2015 and the typical lag time between charge and conviction (6 months), little impact is assumed for CCS in FY 2015-16. Though some offenders may come under CCS supervision during this time, this note assumes an even entry over the course of FY 2016-17.