GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2009

Η

1

HOUSE DRH70281-LA-10 (03/23)

| Short Title: | Delay Alamance County Revaluation. | (Local) |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Sponsors: | Representative Allred. | |
| Referred to: | | |

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

| 2 | AN ACT TO REQUIRE ALAMANCE COUNTY TO USE THE APPRAISED VALUE OF |
|----------|--|
| 3 | REAL PROPERTY DETERMINED IN THE GENERAL REAPPRAISAL CONDUCTED |
| 4 | IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE MOST RECENTLY CONDUCTED GENERAL |
| 5 | REAPPRAISAL. |
| 6 | The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: |
| 7 | SECTION 1. This act applies only to Alamance County. |
| 8 | SECTION 2. G.S. 105-286 reads as rewritten: |
| 9 | "§ 105-286. (Effective until July 1, 2009) Time for general reappraisal of real property. |
| 10 | (a) Octennial Plan Unless the date shall be advanced changed as provided in |
| 11 | subdivision $(a)(2),(a)(2)$ or $(a)(3)$ below, each county of the State, as of January 1 of the year |
| 12 | prescribed in the schedule set out in subdivision (a)(1), below, and every eighth year thereafter, |
| 13 | shall reappraise all real property in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 105-283 and |
| 14 | 105-317. |
| 15 | (1) Schedule of Initial Reappraisals. – |
| 16 | Division One – 1972: Avery, Camden, Cherokee, Cleveland, |
| 17 | Cumberland, Guilford, Harnett, Haywood, Lee, Montgomery, Northampton, |
| 18 | and Robeson. |
| 19 | Division Two – 1973: Caldwell, Carteret, Columbus, Currituck, |
| 20 | Davidson, Gaston, Greene, Hyde, Lenoir, Madison, Orange, Pamlico, Pitt, |
| 21 | Richmond, Swain, Transylvania, and Washington. |
| 22 | Division Three – 1974: Ashe, Buncombe, Chowan, Franklin, Henderson, |
| 23 | Hoke, Jones, Pasquotank, Rowan, and Stokes. |
| 24 | Division Four – 1975: Alleghany, Bladen, Brunswick, Cabarrus, |
| 25 | Catawba, Dare, Halifax, Macon, New Hanover, Surry, Tyrrell, and Yadkin. |
| 26 | Division Five – 1976: Bertie, Caswell, Forsyth, Iredell, Jackson, Lincoln, |
| 27 | Onslow, Person, Perquimans, Rutherford, Union, Vance, Wake, Wilson, and |
| 28 | Yancey. |
| 29 | Division Six – 1977: Alamance, Durham, Edgecombe, Gates, Martin, |
| 30 | Mitchell, Nash, Polk, Randolph, Stanly, Warren, and Wilkes. |
| 31 | Division Seven – 1978: Alexander, Anson, Beaufort, Clay, Craven, |
| 32 | Davie, Duplin, and Granville. |
| 33 34 | Division Eight – 1979: Burke, Chatham, Graham, Hertford, Johnston, McDowell, Mecklenburg, Moore, Pender, Rockingham, Sampson, Scotland, |
| 34 35 | Watauga, and Wayne. |
| 55 | w atauga, and w ayne. |



D

| | General Assem | bly of North Carolina | Session 2009 |
|-------------|---------------|---|--|
| 1 2 3 | (2) | Advancing Scheduled Octennial Reappraisal. – Any conduct a reappraisal of real property earlier than require (a) may do so upon adoption by the board of county | ed by this subsection commissioners of a |
| 4 | | resolution so providing. A copy of any such resolution | |
| 5 | | promptly to the Department of Revenue. If the scheduled | |
| 6 | | for any county is advanced as provided herein, real pro | 1 2 2 |
| 7 | | shall thereafter be reappraised every eighth year followin | - |
| 8 | | unless, in accordance with the provisions of this subdivis | |
| 9 | | date shall be adopted by resolution of the board of count | · |
| 10 | | which event a new schedule of octennial reappraisa | Is shall thereby be |
| 11 | | established for that county. | |
| 12 | <u>(3)</u> | Delaying Scheduled Octennial Reappraisal. – Any cour | |
| 13 | | general reappraisal to be effective in 2009 shall disr | |
| 14 | | values generated in that reappraisal and use the appraise | |
| 15 | | in the general reappraisal in effect immediately precedi | |
| 16 | | reappraisal. The county must conduct its next general re | appraisal to become |
| 17 | | effective no later than January 1, 2011. | |
| 18 | ····'' | | 0000 146 1 |
| 19 | | FION 3. G.S. 105-286, as rewritten by Section 1.1 of S.L | . 2008-146, reads as |
| 20 | rewritten: | | |
| 21 | | Effective July 1, 2009; for applicability, see note) | Time for general |
| 22 | | praisal of real property. | • • • • • |
| 23 | | nnial Cycle. – Each county must reappraise all real property | - |
| 24 | 1 | f G.S. 105-283 and G.S. 105-317 as of January 1 of the | • |
| 25 | • | ule and every eighth year thereafter, unless the county is | 1 |
| 26 | | under subdivision (2)subdivisions (2) or (2a) of this se | ection or chooses to |
| 27 | | under subdivision (3) of this section. | |
| 28 | (1) | Schedule of Initial Reappraisals. | |
| 29 | | Division One – 1972: Avery, Camden, Ch | |
| 30 | | Cumberland, Guilford, Harnett, Haywood, Lee, Montgo | mery, Northampton, |
| 31 | | and Robeson. | |
| 32 | | Division Two – 1973: Caldwell, Carteret, Co | |
| 33 | | Davidson, Gaston, Greene, Hyde, Lenoir, Madison, Or | range, Pamlico, Pitt, |
| 34 | | Richmond, Swain, Transylvania, and Washington. | |
| 35 | | Division Three – 1974: Ashe, Buncombe, Chowan, I | Franklin, Henderson, |
| 36 | | Hoke, Jones, Pasquotank, Rowan, and Stokes. | |
| 37 | | Division Four – 1975: Alleghany, Bladen, Br | |
| 38 | | Catawba, Dare, Halifax, Macon, New Hanover, Surry, T | • |
| 39 | | Division Five – 1976: Bertie, Caswell, Forsyth, Irede | |
| 40 | | Onslow, Person, Perquimans, Rutherford, Union, Vance | , Wake, Wilson, and |
| 41 | | Yancey. | |
| 42 | | Division Six – 1977: Alamance, Durham, Edgecon | |
| 43 | | Mitchell, Nash, Polk, Randolph, Stanly, Warren, and Wi | |
| 44 | | Division Seven – 1978: Alexander, Anson, Beau | ifort, Clay, Craven, |
| 45 | | Davie, Duplin, and Granville. | |
| 46 | | Division Eight – 1979: Burke, Chatham, Graham, | |
| 47 | | McDowell, Mecklenburg, Moore, Pender, Rockingham, | Sampson, Scotland, |
| 48 | | Watauga, and Wayne. | |
| 49 | (2) | Mandatory Advancement. – A county whose population | |
| 50 | | according to the most recent annual population estim | |
| 51 | | Secretary by the State Budget Officer must conduct a | i reappraisal of real |
| | | | |

| | General Assembly of North Carolina Session 2009 | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | | property when the county's sales assessment ratio determined under | | | |
| 2 | | G.S. 105-289(h) is less than .85 or greater than 1.15, as indicated on the | | | |
| 3 | | notice the county receives under G.S. 105-284. A reappraisal required under | | | |
| 1 | | this subdivision must become effective no later than January 1 of the earlier | | | |
| i | | of the following years: | | | |
|) | | a. The third year following the year the county received the notice. | | | |
| | | b. The eighth year following the year of the county's last reappraisal. | | | |
| | <u>(2a)</u> | Delayed Reappraisal. – Any county that conducted a general reappraisal to | | | |
| | | be effective in 2009 shall disregard the appraised values generated in that | | | |
| | | reappraisal and use the appraised values determined in the general | | | |
| | | reappraisal in effect immediately prior to the 2009 general reappraisal. The | | | |
| | | county must conduct its next general reappraisal to become effective no later | | | |
| | | <u>than January 1, 2011.</u> | | | |
| | (3) | Optional Advancement A county may conduct a reappraisal of real | | | |
| | | property earlier than required by subdivision (1) or (2) of this subsection if | | | |
| | | the board of county commissioners adopts a resolution providing for | | | |
| | | advancement of the reappraisal. The resolution must designate the effective | | | |
| | | date of the advanced reappraisal and may designate a new reappraisal cycle | | | |
| | | that is more frequent than the octennial cycle set in subdivision (1) of this | | | |
| | | subsection. The board of county commissioners must promptly forward a | | | |
| | | copy of the resolution adopted under this subdivision to the Department of | | | |
| | | Revenue. A more frequent reappraisal cycle designated in a resolution | | | |
| | | adopted under this subdivision continues in effect after a mandatory | | | |
| | | reappraisal required under subdivision (2) of this subsection unless the board | | | |
| | | of county commissioners adopts another resolution that designates a | | | |
| | | different date for the county's next reappraisal. | | | |
| | " | | | | |
| | SECT | TION 4. Section 2 of this act is effective when it becomes law and expires | | | |
| | L-1- 1 2000 C- | tion 2 of this act becomes affective July 1, 2000. The remainder of this act is | | | |

SECTION 4. Section 2 of this act is effective when it becomes law and expires July 1, 2009. Section 3 of this act becomes effective July 1, 2009. The remainder of this act is effective when it becomes law.