## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2007

H HOUSE BILL 1291

Short Title:	NC Racial Justice Act. (Public)
Sponsors:	Representatives Womble, Parmon (Primary Sponsors); Adams, Alexander, Bell, Blue, Bordsen, Bryant, Coleman, Cotham, Cunningham, Faison, Farmer-Butterfield, Fisher, Glazier, Hall, T. Harrell, Harrison, Holliman, Insko, Jones, Lucas, Luebke, McAllister, Mobley, Pierce, Ross, Samuelson, Wainwright, Weiss, Wray, and Wright.
Referred to:	Judiciary II.
	April 3, 2007
A BILL TO BE ENTITLED  AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR THE FAIR AND RELIABLE IMPOSITION OF CAPITAL SENTENCES.  The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:  SECTION 1. Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new Article to read:	
"Article 101.	
"North Carolina Racial Justice Act.	
"§ 15A-2010. North Carolina Racial Justice Act.	
No person shall be subject to or given a sentence of death, or shall be executed pursuant to any judgment that was sought or obtained on the basis of race.  "§ 15A-2011. Pretrial procedures.	
(a) A finding that race was the basis of the decision to seek a death sentence may	
	d if the court finds that race was a significant factor in decisions to seek the
sentence of death in the county, the prosecutorial district, or the State at large, at the	
time the death sentence was sought.	
<u>(b)</u> <u>Ev</u>	idence relevant to establish a finding that race was the basis of the decision
to seek a dea	th sentence may include statistical evidence or other evidence, or both, that
_	of statutory factors death sentences were sought significantly more
<u>frequently:</u>	
<u>(1)</u>	
	punishment for capital offenses against persons of one race than as
(2)	punishment of capital offenses against persons of another race; and
<u>(2)</u>	That the State is unable to justify the racial disparities demonstrated by the defendant by identifying other, legitimate sentencing

1 considerations that when tested statistically, adequately explain the apparent racial disparities.

- (c) The defendant shall state with particularity how the evidence supports a claim that racial considerations played a significant part in the decision either to seek a death sentence or to impose a death sentence in his or her case. The claim shall be raised by the defendant at the pretrial conference or in postconviction proceedings. The court shall schedule a hearing on the claim and shall prescribe a time for the submission of evidence by both parties. If the court finds that race was the basis of the decision to seek the death sentence, the court shall order that a death sentence shall not be sought, or that the death sentence imposed by the judgment not be carried out.
- (d) The defendant has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that race was the basis of the decision to seek the death penalty. The State may offer evidence in rebuttal of the claims or evidence of the defendant.

## "§ 15A-2012. Posttrial procedure.

- (a) A finding that race was an improper basis upon which a death sentence was obtained may be established if the court finds that race was a significant factor in decisions to exercise preemptory challenges during jury selection.
- (b) Evidence relevant to establish a finding that race was a significant factor in decisions to exercise preemptory challenges during jury selection may include statistical evidence, or other evidence, or both. Evidence may include sworn testimony of attorneys, prosecutors, law enforcement officers, or other members of the criminal justice system."
- **SECTION 2.** This act is effective when it becomes law and applies retroactively.