SESSION 1999

Η

HOUSE BILL 968 Committee Substitute Favorable 4/22/99 Senate Judiciary II Committee Substitute Adopted 7/7/00

Short Title: Amend Contested Case Proc.

(Public)

3

Sponsors:

Referred to:

April 12, 1999

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO MODIFY THE PROCEDURES CONCERNING FINAL
3	ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS IN CONTESTED CASES HEARD BY THE
4	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, TO AUTHORIZE
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES TO AWARD REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S
6	FEES IN CERTAIN CASES, AND TO AUTHORIZE THE COURTS TO AWARD
7	REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS.
8	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
9	Section 1. G.S. 6-19.1 reads as rewritten:
10	"§ 6-19.1. Attorney's fees to parties appealing or defending against agency decision.
11	In any civil action, other than an adjudication for the purpose of establishing or fixing
12	a rate, or a disciplinary action by a licensing board, brought by the State or brought by a
13	party who is contesting State action pursuant to G.S. 150B-43 or any other appropriate
14	provisions of law, unless the prevailing party is the State, the court may, in its discretion,
15	allow the prevailing party to recover reasonable attorney's fees fees, including attorney's
16	fees applicable to the administrative review portion of the case, in contested cases arising
17	under Article 3 of Chapter 150B, to be taxed as court costs against the appropriate
18	agency if:

1	(1) The court finds that the agency acted without substantial justification in
2	pressing its claim against the party; and
3	(2) The court finds that there are no special circumstances that would make
4	the award of attorney's fees unjust.
5	The party shall petition for the attorney's fees within 30 days following final
6	disposition of the case. The petition shall be supported by an affidavit setting forth the
7	basis for the request.
8 9	Nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorize the assessment of attorney's fees for the administrative review portion of the case in contested cases arising under Article 9
10	of Chapter 131E of the General Statutes.
11	Nothing in this section grants permission to bring an action against an agency
12	otherwise immune from suit or gives a right to bring an action to a party who otherwise
13	lacks standing to bring the action.
14	Any attorney's fees assessed against an agency under this section shall be charged
15	against the operating expenses of the agency and shall not be reimbursed from any other
16	source."
17	Section 2. G.S. 7A-750 reads as rewritten:
18	"§ 7A-750. Creation; status; purpose.
19	There is created an Office of Administrative Hearings. The Office of Administrative
20	Hearings is an independent, quasi-judicial agency under Article III, Sec. 11 of the
21	Constitution and, in accordance with Article IV, Sec. 3 of the Constitution, has such
22	judicial powers as may be reasonably necessary as an incident to the accomplishment of
23	the purposes for which it is created. The Office of Administrative Hearings is established
24	to ensure that administrative decisions are made in a fair and impartial manner to protect
25	the due process rights of citizens who challenge administrative action and to provide a
26	source of independent hearing officers to preside in administrative cases and thereby
27	administrative law judges to conduct administrative hearings in contested cases in
28	accordance with Chapter 150B of the General Statutes and thereby prevent the
29	commingling of legislative, executive, and judicial functions in the administrative
30	process. It shall also maintain dockets and records of contested cases and shall codify
31	and publish all administrative rules."
32	Section 3. G.S. 7A-754 reads as rewritten:
33	"§ 7A-754. Qualifications; standards of conduct; removal.
34	Only persons duly authorized to practice law in the General Court of Justice shall be
35	eligible for appointment as the Director and chief administrative law judge or as an
36	administrative law judge in the Office of Administrative Hearings. The Chief
37	Administrative Law Judge and the administrative law judges shall comply with the
38	Model Code of Judicial Conduct for State Administrative Law Judges, as adopted by the
39 40	National Conference of Administrative Law Judges, Judicial Division, American Bar
40	Association, (revised August 1998), as amended from time to time, except that the
41	provisions of this section shall control as to the private practice of law in lieu of Canon
42	4G, and G.S. 126-13 shall control as to political activity in lieu of Canon 5. Failure to
43	comply with the applicable provisions of the Model Code may constitute just cause for

disciplinary action under Chapter 126 of the General Statutes and grounds for removal 1 2 from office. Neither the chief administrative law judge nor any administrative law judge 3 may engage in the private practice of law as defined in G.S. 84-2.1 while in office; 4 violation of this provision shall constitute just cause for disciplinary action under Chapter 5 126 of the General Statutes and shall be grounds for removal.-removal from office. Each 6 administrative law judge shall take the oaths required by Chapter 11 of the General 7 Statutes. An administrative law judge may be removed from office by the Director of the 8 Office of Administrative Hearings for just cause, as that term is used in G.S. 126-35.-G.S. 9 126-35 and this section." Section 4. G.S. 150B-29(a) reads as rewritten: 10 In all contested cases, irrelevant, immaterial and unduly repetitious evidence 11 "(a) 12 shall be excluded. Except as otherwise provided, the rules of evidence as applied in the trial division of the General Court of Justice shall be followed; but, when evidence is not 13 14 reasonably available under the rules to show relevant facts, then the most reliable and 15 substantial evidence available shall be admitted. On the judge's own motion, an administrative law judge may exclude evidence that is inadmissible under this section. 16 17 The party with the burden of proof in a contested case must establish the facts required by 18 G.S. 150B-23(a) by a preponderance of the evidence. It shall not be necessary for a party 19 or his attorney to object at the hearing to evidence in order to preserve the right to object 20 to its consideration by the administrative law judge in making a recommended-decision. 21 by the agency in making a final decision, or by the court on judicial review." 22 Section 5. G.S. 150B-33(b) reads as rewritten: 23 "(b) An administrative law judge may: 24 . . . 25 (11)Order the assessment of reasonable attorneys' fees and witnesses' fees against the State agency involved in contested cases decided under 26 Chapter 126 where the administrative law judge finds discrimination, 27 harassment, or orders reinstatement or back pay." 28 Section 6. G.S. 150B-34 reads as rewritten: 29 "§ 150B-34. Recommended decision Decision or order of administrative law judge. 30 Except as provided in G.S. 150B-36(c), and subsection (c) of this section, in 31 (a) 32 each contested case the administrative law judge shall make a recommended decision or 33 order-that contains findings of fact and conclusions of law-law and return the decision to the agency for a final decision in accordance with G.S. 150B-36. The administrative law 34 35 judge shall decide the case based upon the preponderance of the evidence, giving due regard to the demonstrated knowledge and expertise of the agency with respect to facts 36 and inferences within the specialized knowledge of the agency. All references in this 37 38 Chapter to the administrative law judge's decision shall include orders entered pursuant to 39 G.S. 150B-36(c). Repealed by Session Laws 1991, c. 35, s. 6. 40 (b) Notwithstanding subsection (a) of this section, in cases arising under Article 9 41 (c)42 of Chapter 131E of the General Statutes, the administrative law judge shall make a recommended decision or order that contains findings of fact and conclusions of law. A 43

final decision shall be made by the agency in writing after review of the official record as 1 2 defined in G.S. 150B-37(a) and shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. 3 The final agency decision shall recite and address all of the facts set forth in the 4 recommended decision. For each finding of fact in the recommended decision not 5 adopted by the agency, the agency shall state the specific reason, based on the evidence, 6 for not adopting the findings of fact and the agency's findings shall be supported by substantial evidence admissible under G.S. 150B-29(a), 150B-30, or 150B-31. The 7 8 provisions of G.S. 150B-36(b), (b1), (b2), (b3), and (d), and G.S. 150B-51 do not apply 9 to cases decided under this subsection. 10 (d) Except for the exemptions contained in G.S. 150B-1(c) and (e), and subsection (c) of this section, the provisions of this section regarding the decision of the 11 administrative law judge shall apply only to agencies subject to Article 3 of this Chapter, 12 notwithstanding any other provisions to the contrary relating to recommended decisions 13 14 by administrative law judges." Section 7. G.S. 150B-36 reads as rewritten: 15 "§ 150B-36. Final decision. 16 17 (a) Before the agency makes a final decision, it shall give each party an 18 opportunity to file exceptions to the decision recommended made by the administrative law judge, and to present written arguments to those in the agency who will make the 19 20 final decision or order. If a party files in good faith a timely and sufficient affidavit of 21 personal bias or other reason for disqualification of a member of the agency making the final decision, the agency shall determine the matter as a part of the record in the case, 22 23 and the determination is subject to judicial review at the conclusion of the case. 24 Except as provided in G.S. 150B-34(c) or subsection (d) of this section, A-a (b)final decision or order in a contested case shall be made by the agency in writing after 25 review of the official record as defined in G.S. 150B-37(a) and shall include findings of 26 27 fact and conclusions of law. The agency shall adopt each finding of fact contained in the administrative law judge's decision unless the finding is clearly contrary to the 28 29 preponderance of the admissible evidence, giving due regard to the opportunity of the administrative law judge to evaluate the credibility of witnesses. For each finding of fact 30 not adopted by the agency and each finding of fact made by the agency that is not 31 32 contained in the administrative law judge's decision, the agency shall follow the procedures set forth in subsections (b1) and (b2) of this section. 33 For each finding of fact not adopted by the agency, the agency shall set forth 34 (b1) 35 separately and in detail the following: The reasons for not adopting the findings of fact. 36 (1)The evidence in the record relied upon by the agency in not adopting 37 (2)38 the finding of fact contained in the administrative law judge's 39 decision. Any finding of fact not specifically rejected as required by this subsection shall be 40 deemed accepted for purposes of judicial review of the final decision pursuant to Article 41 42 4 of this Chapter.

1	(b) For each finding of feat made by the agency that is not contained in the
1 2	(b2) For each finding of fact made by the agency that is not contained in the
	administrative law judge's decision, the agency shall set forth separately and in detail the
3	evidence in the record relied upon by the agency in making the finding of fact. Any new
4	finding of fact made by the agency shall be supported by a preponderance of the
5	admissible evidence in the record. The agency shall not make any new finding of fact
6	that is inconsistent with a finding of fact contained in the administrative law judge's
7	decision unless the finding of fact in the administrative law judge's decision is not
8	adopted as required by subsection (b1) of this section.
9	(b3) Except as provided in G.S. 150B-34(c), the agency shall adopt the decision of
10	the administrative law judge unless the agency demonstrates that the decision of the
11	administrative law judge is clearly contrary to the preponderance of the admissible
12	evidence in the record. If the agency does not adopt the administrative law judge's
13	recommended-decision as its final decision, the agency shall set forth its reasoning for the
14	final decision in light of the findings of fact and conclusions of law in the final decision,
15	including any exercise of discretion by the agency. state in its decision or order the specific
16	reasons why it did not adopt the administrative law judge's recommended decision. The agency
17	may consider only the official record prepared pursuant to G.S. 150B-37 in making a
18	final decision or order, and the final decision or order shall be supported by substantial evidence
19	admissible under G.S. 150B-29(a), 150B-30, or 150B-31. A copy of the decision or order
20	shall be served upon each party personally or by certified mail addressed to the party at
21	the latest address given by the party to the agency, and a copy shall be furnished to his
22	attorney of record and the Office of Administrative Hearings.
23	(c) The following decisions made by administrative law judges in contested cases
24	are final decisions appealable directly to superior court under Article 4 of this Chapter:
25	(1) A determination that the Office of Administrative Hearings lacks
26	jurisdiction.
27	(2) An order entered pursuant to the authority in G.S. $7A-759(e)$.
28	(3) An order entered pursuant to a written prehearing motion that either
29	dismisses the contested case for failure of the petitioner to prosecute or
30	grants the relief requested when a party does not comply with
31	procedural requirements.
32	(4) An order entered pursuant to a prehearing motion to dismiss the
33	contested case in accordance with G.S. 1A-1, Rule 12(b) when the order
34	disposes of all issues in the contested case.
35	(d) An administrative law judge may grant judgment on the pleadings, pursuant to
36	a motion made in accordance with G.S. 1A-1, Rule 12(c), or summary judgment,
37	pursuant to a motion made in accordance with G.S. 1A-1, Rule 56, that disposes of all
38	issues in the contested case. Notwithstanding subsection (b) of this section, a decision
39	granting a motion for judgment on the pleadings or summary judgment need not include
40	findings of fact or conclusions of law, except as determined by the administrative law
41	judge to be required or allowed by G.S. 1A-1, Rule 12(c) or Rule 56. For any decision by
42	the administrative law judge granting judgment on the pleadings or summary judgment
43	that disposes of all issues in the contested case, the agency shall make a final decision. If

1 2	the agency does not adopt the administrative law judge's decision, it shall set forth the basis for failing to adopt the decision and shall remand the case to the administrative law
3	judge for hearing. The party aggrieved by the agency's decision shall be entitled to
4	immediate judicial review of the decision under Article 4 of this Chapter."
5	Section 8. G.S. 150B-37 reads as rewritten:
6	"§ 150B-37. Official record.
7	(a) In a contested case, the Office of Administrative Hearings shall prepare an
8	official record of the case that includes:
9	(1) Notices, pleadings, motions, and intermediate rulings;
10	(2) Questions and offers of proof, objections, and rulings thereon;
11	(3) Evidence presented;
12	(4) Matters officially noticed, except matters so obvious that a statement of
13	them would serve no useful purpose; and
14	(5) Repealed by Session Laws 1987, c. 878, s. 25.
15	(6) The administrative law judge's recommended -decision, or order.
16	(b) Proceedings at which oral evidence is presented shall be recorded, but need not
17	be transcribed unless requested by a party. Each party shall bear the cost of the transcript
18	or part thereof or copy of said transcript or part thereof which said party requests, and
19	said transcript or part thereof shall be added to the official record as an exhibit.
20	(c) The Office of Administrative Hearings shall forward a copy of the official
21	record to the agency making the final decision and shall forward a copy of the
22	recommended administrative law judge's decision to each party."
23	Section 9. G.S. 150B-44 reads as rewritten:
24 25	" § 150B-44. Right to judicial intervention when decision unreasonably delayed. Unreasonable delay on the part of any agency or administrative law judge in taking
23 26	any required action shall be justification for any person whose rights, duties, or privileges
20 27	are adversely affected by such delay to seek a court order compelling action by the
28	agency or administrative law judge. An agency that is subject to Article 3 of this Chapter
29	and is not a board or commission has $90-60$ days from the day it receives the official
30	record in a contested case from the Office of Administrative Hearings to make a final
31	decision in the case. This time limit may be extended by the parties or, for good cause
32	shown, by the agency for an additional period of up to $\frac{90-60}{2}$ days. An agency that is
33	subject to Article 3 of this Chapter and is a board or commission has <u>90-60</u> days from the
34	day it receives the official record in a contested case from the Office of Administrative
35	Hearings or 90-60 days after its next regularly scheduled meeting, whichever is longer, to
36	make a final decision in the case. This time limit may be extended by the parties or, for
37	good cause shown, by the agency for an additional period of up to 90-60 days. If an
38	agency subject to Article 3 of this Chapter has not made a final decision within these time
39	limits, the agency is considered to have adopted the administrative law judge's
40	recommended decision as the agency's final decision. Failure of an agency subject to
	recommended decision as the agency's final decision. Failure of an agency subject to
41	Article 3A of this Chapter to make a final decision within 180-120 days of the close of the
41 42	

adversely affected by the delay to seek a court order compelling action by the agency or,
if the case was heard by an administrative law judge, by the administrative law judge."

Section 10 G S 150P 40 reads as rewritten.

Section 10. G.S. 150B-49 reads as rewritten:

3 4

20

"§ 150B-49. New evidence.

5 An aggrieved person who files a petition in the superior court may apply to the court 6 to present additional evidence. If the court is satisfied that the evidence is material to the 7 issues, is not merely cumulative, and could not reasonably have been presented at the 8 administrative hearing, the court may remand the case so that additional evidence can be 9 taken. If an administrative law judge did not make a recommended decision in the case, 10 the court shall remand the case to the agency that conducted the administrative hearing. After hearing the evidence, the agency may affirm or modify its previous findings of fact 11 12 and final decision. If an administrative law judge made a recommended decision in the case, the court shall remand the case to the administrative law judge. After hearing the 13 14 evidence, the administrative law judge may affirm or modify his previous findings of fact 15 and recommended decision. The administrative law judge shall forward a copy of his decision to the agency that made the final decision, which in turn may affirm or modify 16 17 its previous findings of fact and final decision. The additional evidence and any 18 affirmation or modification of a recommended decision of the administrative law judge or final decision shall be made part of the official record." 19

Section 11. G.S. 150B-51 reads as rewritten:

21 "§ 150B-51. Scope <u>and standard of review</u>.

Initial Determination in Certain Cases. In reviewing a final decision in a 22 (a) 23 contested case in which an administrative law judge made a recommended decision and 24 the State Personnel Commission made an advisory decision in accordance with G.S. 126-37(b1), the court shall make two initial determinations. First, the court shall determine 25 whether the agency heard new evidence after receiving the recommended decision. If the 26 27 court determines that the agency heard new evidence, the court shall reverse the decision or remand the case to the agency to enter a decision in accordance with the evidence in 28 29 the official record. Second, if the agency did not adopt the recommended decision, the court shall determine whether the agency's decision states the specific reasons why the 30 agency did not adopt the recommended decision. If the court determines that the agency 31 32 did not state specific reasons why it did not adopt a recommended decision, the court 33 shall reverse the decision or remand the case to the agency to enter the specific reasons.

In reviewing a final decision in a contested case in which an administrative law 34 (a1) 35 judge made a decision, in accordance with G.S. 150B-34(a), and the agency adopted the administrative law judge's decision, the court shall determine whether the agency heard 36 new evidence after receiving the decision. If the court determines that the agency heard 37 38 new evidence, the court shall reverse the decision or remand the case to the agency to enter a decision in accordance with the evidence in the official record. The court shall 39 also determine whether the agency specifically rejected findings of fact contained in the 40 administrative law judge's decision in the manner provided by G.S. 150B-36(b1) and 41 made findings of fact in accordance with G.S. 150B-36(b2). If the court determines that 42

the agency failed to follow the procedure set forth in G.S. 150B-36, the court may take 1 2 appropriate action under subsection (b) of this section. 3 (b) Standard of Review. After making the determinations, if any, required by subsection 4 (a), the court reviewing a final decision-Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, 5 in reviewing a final decision, the court may affirm the decision of the agency or remand 6 the case to the agency or to the administrative law judge for further proceedings. It may 7 also reverse or modify the agency's decision-decision, or adopt the administrative law 8 judge's decision if the substantial rights of the petitioners may have been prejudiced 9 because the agency's findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions are: 10 (1)In violation of constitutional provisions; (2)In excess of the statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency; 11 12 (3) Made upon unlawful procedure: Affected by other error of law; 13 (4) 14 (5) Unsupported by substantial evidence admissible under G.S. 150B-29(a), 15 150B-30, or 150B-31 in view of the entire record as submitted; or Arbitrary or capricious. Arbitrary, capricious, or an abuse of discretion. 16 (6) 17 (c)In reviewing a final decision in a contested case in which an administrative law judge made a decision, in accordance with G.S. 150B-34(a), and the agency does not 18 adopt the administrative law judge's decision, the court shall review the official record, de 19 20 novo, and shall make findings of fact and conclusions of law. In reviewing the case, the 21 court shall not give deference to any prior decision made in the case and shall not be bound by the findings of fact or the conclusions of law contained in the agency's final 22 23 decision. The court shall determine whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief sought 24 in the petition, based upon its review of the official record. The court reviewing a final decision under this subsection may adopt the administrative law judge's decision; may 25 adopt, reverse, or modify the agency's decision; may remand the case to the agency for 26 further explanations under G.S. 150B-36(b1), 150B-36(b2), or 150B-36(b3), or reverse or 27 modify the final decision for the agency's failure to provide the explanations; and may 28 take any other action allowed by law. 29 In reviewing a final decision in which the agency does not adopt an 30 (d)administrative law judge's decision granting a motion for judgment on the pleadings or 31 summary judgment, as provided in G.S. 150B-36(d), the court shall review the record, de 32 novo, and shall determine whether the motion was properly granted. The court may enter 33 judgment on the pleadings or summary judgment in favor of any party in accordance with 34 G.S. 1A-1, Rule 12(c) and Rule 56, or may remand the case to the administrative law 35 judge for further proceedings." 36 Section 12. G.S. 150B-52 reads as rewritten: 37 38 "§ 150B-52. Appeal; stay of court's decision. 39 A party to a review proceeding in a superior court may appeal to the appellate division from the final judgment of the superior court as provided in G.S. 7A-27. The 40 scope of review to be applied by the appellate court under this section is the same as it is 41 42 for other civil cases. In cases reviewed under G.S. 150B-51(a1)(3), the court's findings of fact shall be upheld if supported by substantial evidence. Pending the outcome of an 43

appeal, an appealing party may apply to the court that issued the judgment under appeal 1 2 for a stay of that judgment or a stay of the administrative decision that is the subject of 3 the appeal, as appropriate." 4 Section 13. G.S. 126-35 is amended by adding a new subsection to read: "(d) In contested cases conducted pursuant to Chapter 150B of the General Statutes, 5 the burden of showing that a career State employee subject to the State Personnel Act 6 was discharged, suspended, or demoted for just cause rests with the department or agency 7 8 employer."

9 Section 14. This act becomes effective January 1, 2001, and applies to 10 contested cases commenced on or after the effective date.